

11

ND

PERFORMANCE T		

·	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

**Our School** Middle Schools with Students like Ours English/Language Arts **Mathematics** English/Language Arts **Mathematics** 

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	6	0	26
Percent satisfied with learning environment	66.7%	N/R	68.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	nt 100.0%	N/R	52.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	33.3%	N/R	76.0%

JOHNSON Middle								2104042
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP	, i		, i	, i		_بِــا
		DUP  Rent 15t tind  Rent 15t tind	/.	and a long to the state of the		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and stranged
	/100	kri lesti	asted /	CM BS3	asic	3roficie/	Manue	cient ancel
	Enfoli	9401 010	lested old Br	310,	Basic ok	6,	bry Joho	cient and
	/ • •		90	olish/Lar	iguage Ai		_ 6/6	د /
All students	259	98.8	60.8	31.3	7.5	0.4	7.9	17.6
Gender	239	30.0	00.0	31.3	1.5	0.4	1.5	17.0
Male	123	97.6	72.2	21.7	6.1	N/A	6.1	17.6
Female	136	100.0	50.4	40.0	8.8	0.8	9.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	100	10010	0011	1010	0.0	0.0		
White	31	96.8	38.5	53.8	7.7	N/A	7.7	17.6
African-American	226	99.1	63.6	28.5	7.5	0.5	7.9	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	1473							
Not disabled	223	99.1	57.8	33.0	8.7	0.5	9.2	17.6
Disabled	36	97.2	79.4	20.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	259	98.8	60.8	31.3	7.5	0.4	7.9	17.6
English Proficiency	200		30.0	0.110				
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	259	98.8	61.1	31.0	7.5	0.4	7.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	204	98.5	66.0	29.8	4.2	N/A	4.2	17.6
Full-pay meals	50	100.0	40.8	36.7	20.4	2.0	22.4	17.6
	•		•	•	•	•	•	
				Mathe	matics			
All students	259	99.6	57.9	34.2	6.7	1.3	7.9	15.5
Gender								
Male	123	100.0	61.2	31.9	6.0	0.9	6.9	15.5
Female	136	99.3	54.8	36.3	7.3	1.6	8.9	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	31	100.0	46.2	38.5	11.5	3.8	15.4	15.5
African-American	226	99.6	59.3	33.6	6.1	0.9	7.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	223	99.6	53.9	36.9	7.8	1.5	9.2	15.5
Disabled	36	100.0	82.4	17.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	259	99.6	57.9	34.2	6.7	1.3	7.9	15.5
English Proficiency								
imited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	259	99.6	57.7	34.3	6.7	1.3	7.9	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Suboidized mode	204	00 F	E0 1	27.7	27	0.5	1 12	1 15 5

57.1

20.4

18.4

99.5

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

0.5 4.1

22.4

15.5

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

	Enoli	194 of 1	0/0 B	90,	28c 0/0	810	ALC 0/0 Profi
			Englis	n/Langua	ge Arts		_ `
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	75	N/A	62.2	33.8	4.1	N/A	4.1
Grade 7	91	N/A	58.2	29.7	11.0	1.1	12.1
Grade 8	80	N/A	57.5	35.0	7.5	N/A	7.5
▲ Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
g Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5 Grade 6	82	97.6	61.5	26.9	11.5	N/A	11.5
Grade 7	85	98.8	63.2	35.5	1.3	N/A	1.3
Grade 8	92	100.0	58.1	31.4	9.3	1.2	10.5

	Mathamatica							
	Mathematics							
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	75	N/A	74.3	23.0	2.7	N/A	2.7
	Grade 7	91	N/A	63.7	25.3	8.8	2.2	11.0
•	Grade 8	80	N/A	57.5	41.3	1.3	N/A	1.3
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	82	100.0	53.2	30.4	13.9	2.5	16.5
	Grade 7	85	100.0	60.5	35.5	2.6	1.3	3.9
	Grade 8	92	98.9	60.0	36.5	3.5	N/A	3.5

# SCHOOL PROFILE

C	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 263)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	2.8%	Up from 0.0%	7.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	3.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	92.4%	Down from 93.8%	94.7%	95.2%
	8.1%	Up from 7.5%	5.9%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	13.6%	Up from 10.9%	16.5%	14.1%
	4.2%	No change	8.8%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.1%	Down from 1.9%	1.4%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 19)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	52.6%	Up from 40.0%	47.4%	47.1%
	47.4%	Down from 60.0%	74.4%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	78.7%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	91.7%	Down from 94.7%	94.5%	95.0%
	\$38,567	Down 4.0%	\$38,666	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	8.5 days	Down from 18.6 days	11.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.2 to 1	Up from 9.7 to 1	19.4 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	79.8%	Down from 84.4%	86.5%	88.9%
	\$6,596	Up 38.4%	\$6,596	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	71.2%	Down from 71.4%	59.0%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	77.0%	Down from 77.2%	82.5%	94.8%
	no	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

		•	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

"Just Molding Success!" is the motto of Johnson Middle School (JMS). This belief is evident in our focus on academics, student achievement and parental involvement. Each of these facets helped prepare JMS students this school year for success in future endeavors.

This school year our faculty and staff have worked hard to focus the "Written, Taught, and Tested." Our faculty has developed long-range plans and lesson plans based on prioritized curriculum standards. The JMS Faculty and Staff received staff development in Standards in Practice (SIP). These bi-weekly meeting have helped our teachers focus more deeply on what is taught at JMS.

In addition, JMS implemented the PRIME (Panthers Read In The Morning Everyday) Book Club. PRIME allowed Johnson Middle School students a chance to read a selection of novels and stories and discuss several topics with teachers and their peers. This endeavor helped sixth, seventh, and eighth grade students at JMS prepare for the PACT.

With the help of Ms. Beverly Woods, Panther After-School program coordinator, the needs of several students have been addressed. Parents have become more involved at Johnson Middle School, through the efforts of the Panther After-School Program. Events such as Parent Night, the FAST (Family and Schools Together), and FAMILY PACT NIGHT have offered parents an opportunity to become involved in their child's education.

With the continued support of JMS by the PTA, JMS School Improvement Council, Florence County School District Four Board members, and the Timmonsville community, the future success of JMS is unmistakable. At JMS, we have the BEST faculty, staff, and students IN THE UNIVERSE. With the support of the parents and community leaders, we can continue to "Just Mold Success!"

Gerard Edwards, Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.